- (i) a review of the efficiency, effectiveness, and economy with which resources are used; [and]
- (ii) a review to determine whether desired program results are achieved: AND
- (III) A REVIEW TO DETERMINE THE RELIABILITY OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES, AS DEFINED IN § 3–1001(G) OF THE STATE FINANCE AND PROCUREMENT ARTICLE, IDENTIFIED IN THE MANAGING FOR RESULTS AGENCY STRATEGIC PLAN DEVELOPED UNDER § 3–1003(B) § 3–1002(C) OF THE STATE FINANCE AND PROCUREMENT ARTICLE.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That the terms of the initial members of the Managing for Results Strategic Planning Committee established by Section 1 of this Act who are subject to appointment end as follows:

- (1) four members in fiscal 2007;
- (2) four members in fiscal 2008; and
- (3) four members in fiscal 2009. Department of Budget and Management shall continue its established, internal Managing for Results Steering Committee. The Department shall invite the participation of three members to provide insight into the use and purpose of Managing for Results by July 1, 2005. The three members shall include:

- (3) one budget analyst with the Office of Policy Analysis in the Department of Legislative Services selected by the Director of the Office of Policy Analysis.

SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That on or before October 1, 2004, the Planning Committee shall report to the Governor and, subject to § 2-1246 of the State Government Article, to the Senate Budget and Taxation Committee and the House Appropriations Committee on the feasibility of providing agency or employee rewards or incentives for achieving statewide goals or for establishing agency or employee penalties or disincentives for failing to achieve statewide goals.

SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That on or before July 1, 2006, the Managing for Results Steering Committee within the Department of Budget and Management, in consultation with the Office of Legislative Audits, shall submit a report to the Senate Budget and Taxation Committee and House Appropriations Committee on the progress made in implementing, and the effectiveness of, the managing for results process as provided in this Act. This report shall include:

(1) a comparative analysis of the State's managing for results process with performance budgeting in other states;